



Grindleton Parish Council

Clerk: Andrew Glover
 24 Hillside Drive
 West Bradford
 Clitheroe
 BB7 4TG

Tel: 01200 428547
 Mobile: 07968 486729
 Email: andy.glover24@hotmail.co.uk

Minutes of the meeting of Grindleton Parish Council on Tuesday 5 March 2024 at the Pavilion, Grindleton.

Members present:	Parish Cllr Lorraine Halley (Chair) Parish Cllr Tony Bramwell Parish Cllr Chris Brennan Parish Cllr Megan Haslam Parish Cllr Susan Walsh Borough Cllr Kevin Horkin MBE (RVBC)
Apologies for absence:	Parish Cllr Glenn Wheeler (Vice Chair) Parish Cllr Paul Atkinson
Clerk present:	Andrew Glover
Members of the public present:	None

1.	<p>Declarations of Interest</p> <p>a) Standing orders suspended</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Re minute 18a below, the Chair declared an Other Registrable Interest as she was working closely with the B4RN initiative.</p> <p>b) Standing orders resumed</p>	
2.	<p>Public Participation</p> <p>None</p>	
3.	<p>Minutes / matters arising</p> <p>a) <u>Minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 6 February 2024</u></p> <p>The minutes of the meeting held on 6 February 2024 were signed by the Chair as a true and accurate record.</p> <p>Proposed by: Cllr Bramwell</p>	

	<p>Seconded by: Cllr Walsh</p> <p>Resolved The Chair was authorised to sign off the minutes of the meeting held on 6 February 2024, and it was agreed that the minutes of this meeting would be posted on the Parish Council website</p> <p>b) <u>Actions taken / matters arising since the last meeting (not covered elsewhere on the agenda)</u></p> <p>i) The Clerk had compiled a list of actions for members to note / update briefly on progress since the last meeting.</p> <p>Subject to a guillotine of 30 minutes, members gave verbal updates on their respective actions. These were captured on the list retained by the Clerk.</p>	Clerk
4.	<p>Overview of financial position</p> <p>a) <u>Monthly accounts – February 2024</u></p> <p>The Clerk presented details of income and expenditure for the month of February 2024 for approval by the Parish Council and signing-off by the Chair.</p> <p>Cllr Walsh pointed out that the invoice submitted by the Lengthsman for November 2023 was in fact for £352.50, as opposed to the £350 paid to him by the Parish Council. Members asked the Clerk to explore this discrepancy, and – should the payment prove to have been inaccurate – ensure that the shortfall of £2.50 is added to the payment for the next invoice submitted.</p> <p>Resolved That the record for February 2024 as presented would be signed off Clerk to explore the potential discrepancy on the Lengthsman’s November invoice, and ensure that the shortfall of £2.50 was added to a subsequent claim submitted by the Lengthsman</p> <p>b) <u>Assessment of financial situation as year-end approaches</u></p> <p>The monthly accounts for February 2024 confirmed that, with a month to go to year-end, the Parish Council had around £6,200 in its current account. From this, it was anticipated that the following commitments would need to be met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clerk’s salary Q3 (c£1,500); • miscellaneous spend (an estimated £1,000 maximum); and • GRGC, at this time of the year, would be looking to request grant funding likely to be in the region of £1,100 for grass cutting and other functions 	Clerk

	Members noted the financial position as year-end approached, and agreed to monitor any applications for grant funding on a case by case basis..	
5.	<p>Improving Parish Council effectiveness</p> <p>a) <u>Shared document storage</u></p> <p>i) <u>Presentation</u></p> <p>At the February meeting, Cllr Atkinson had indicated his intention to progress this matter during the forthcoming half-term holidays. In his absence, it was agreed that discussion on this topic would be deferred to the April meeting.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to diary a discussion at the April meeting</p> <p>ii) <u>External audit arrangements for 2023/24 - information from LALC</u></p> <p>At the February meeting, Cllr Wheeler had indicated that – as part of his earlier research into potential document storage facilities – he had acquired the domain name grindletonpc.org, which would potentially allow the Parish Council to secure an email address in compliance with the forthcoming requirements of the external auditor (ie be an address which was owned by the Parish Council).</p> <p>In the absence of both Cllr Wheeler and Cllr Atkinson, it was agreed that discussion on this matter would also be deferred to the April meeting.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to diary a discussion at the April meeting</p>	Clerk
6.	<p>Date for November meeting</p> <p>The Clerk had been asked by a representative of the Pavilion to confirm the date of the November 2024 meeting. (The usual date for parish council meetings, the second Tuesday of the month, fell on 5 November when the Pavilion would be used for other purposes and was unavailable for hire).</p> <p>The Chair had suggested 12 November as an alternative date, and members agreed that the November meeting should be moved to this date.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to contact Peter Norcliffe and inform him of the revised date</p>	Clerk
7.	<p>Planning applications to be considered</p> <p>a) <u>Applications on which the Parish Council is a consultee</u></p> <p>None</p>	

B)	<p><u>Other planning issues</u></p> <p>The Clerk had been approached by local residents who were seeking the Parish Council’s support for their proposed erection of solar panels, to be placed on the west-southwest-facing roof of their garage. The residents had initially been advised of the Parish Council’s general stance in relation to the deployment of solar panels within (i) the Conservation Area and (ii) Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty / National Landscape. As a result, the residents had confirmed that the panels in question would be coloured pure black and “would be mounted flush with the roofline”. Details of the proposed panels had been provided. However, members noted that the product’s technical specification provided in support of the proposed application did not specifically confirm that the panels would be “flush with the roofline”, instead seeming to indicate that the panels would sit 30mm above the roof tiles.</p> <p>Members agreed that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support for any planning applications relating to the installation of solar panels would be considered on a case by case basis, with relevant factors including the product specification and the location of the property; and • given the lack of certainty as to whether the proposed panels would sit flush with the roofline, the resident should be once again referred to the guidance given by the Parish Council on its website. <p>Resolved Clerk to advise residents accordingly</p> <p>The Chair asked Cllr Horkin whether he was able to shed any further light on RVBC’s stance with regard to the granting of planning approval for solar panels. Whilst Cllr Horkin was generally supportive of their use, he was unable to provide any further clarification at this stage. The Chair informed Cllr Horkin that a local resident had been asking for his support in “calling in” a recent decision regarding solar panels, and Cllr Horkin agreed to look at this request in more detail.</p>	Clerk
8.	<p>Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme (HARP)</p> <p>a) <u>Planning application 3/2021/0661 – update</u></p> <p>Cllr Horkin confirmed that no activity would commence before mid-2025. United Utilities / LCC had appointed a joint Liaison Officer to facilitate communication with affected parishes, and RVBC would also be appointing its own part-time officer to support with local engagement.</p>	
9.	<p>Footpaths</p> <p>A) <u>Log of footpath concerns</u></p> <p>The Clerk presented an updated version of the log of footpath concerns.</p> <p>The Chair reported that she had been made aware of two further defective stiles on FP0321045 at Hill House Farm. The most northerly stile was dangerously rotten and loose; the more southerly one was said to be wobbly. (cont)</p>	

11.	<p>Lancashire Best Kept Village Competition 2024</p> <p>The Chair advised members that she had now finalised the “pen picture” to be submitted as part of the entry to the competition. This would be sent off to the event organisers in the near future, along with the application form when completed.</p>	
12.	<p>Allotments</p> <p>a) <u>Tenants’ meeting</u></p> <p>The Clerk and Cllr Bramwell had offered to arrange a tenants’ meeting at the start of the growing season. It was proposed that this meeting should be held in the last week of March 2024 (subject to member / room availability). Cllr Bramwell undertook to contact the Clerk with his availability in the next 24 hours.</p> <p>Resolved Cllr Bramwell to confirm his availability to the Clerk Clerk to then approach Peter Norcliffe regarding room availability and complete a reservation Clerk to arrange for payment of the required fee for room hire Clerk to advise all allotment tenants of the proposed date</p> <p>b) <u>Update on take-up of plots</u></p> <p>i) <u>Plot 1</u></p> <p>Cllr Bramwell had met with the new tenant of Plot 1, who had decided to take the plot in its entirety. It had been agreed that the tenant would register the plot in his own name and in a private capacity (not that of any business with which he may be associated), as had been required by members following their discussion at the February meeting. This stipulation had been set out in the correspondence / tenancy agreement hand-delivered to the tenant, who had now signed and returned the tenancy agreement.</p> <p>ii) <u>Waiting list</u></p> <p>A local resident had informed the Parish Council of his interest in taking on an allotment tenancy, and his details had now been added to the waiting list. No other persons were on the list at this time.</p> <p>c) <u>Revised tenancy agreement 2024/25 – feedback from tenants</u></p> <p>i) <u>Tenant of Plot 4</u></p> <p>At the February meeting, the Clerk had reported that the tenant of Plot 4 had once again submitted a number of observations regarding the wording of the revised tenancy agreement. As agreed, the Clerk had since written to the tenant in response, and a copy of the letter was provided for members’ information.</p> <p>(cont)</p>	<p>TB Clerk</p> <p>Clerk Clerk</p>

In late February 2024 the tenant had sent another letter to the Clerk, in which he made a number of further observations, including:

- his ongoing concern at the Council's current approach of charging the Clerk's time to allotment holders;
- his willingness to assist the Parish Council in drafting a revised tenancy agreement; and
- a request for further clarification as to whether the charges for the provision of water on the allotments are based on consumption or a standing charge.

Members agreed that a full response should once again be sent to the tenant, addressing his concerns but advising him that it would not be appropriate for a tenant to be involved in drafting a tenancy agreement.

Resolved

Clerk to write to the tenant of Plot 4

Clerk

ii) Wider comments from tenants

During the second week of February, the Clerk had been copied into an email trail in which a number of tenants (who had by then received the revised tenancy agreement) had commented on its content. Specific concerns had been raised on the following aspects:

- Use of food waste in composters

Clause 12n of the revised tenancy agreement now stated:

The tenant shall maintain their plots so as to ensure that pests (rodents, insects, wasp nests etc) are not encouraged. In particular, no fresh food waste (whether cooked or otherwise) should be used for composting. It is the tenant's responsibility to address any pest problems on their plots.

One tenant had asserted that compost heaps required fresh food peelings etc to create the right balance of nutrients in order to feed the soil. She had suggested that the agreement could be amended to the following:

"allotment holders should turn their heaps yearly in order to prevent inadvertently creating a haven for vermin"

In considering this matter, members emphasised that the clause proscribing the composting of food waste had been inserted following a complaint from neighbouring properties that a rat had been seen in the vicinity of the allotments. As a result, the clause in question would remain in the tenancy agreement as drafted. However, in the spirit of co-operation, they noted that compost bins with closed sides and a tight-fitting lid were commercially available and were more likely to deter rats. Where a tenant used a compost bin which met this specification, members were willing to take a sympathetic and flexible approach.

Resolved

Clause 12n of the existing tenancy agreement would remain

- Use of fires

Clause 12d of the revised tenancy agreement now stated:

Fires of any kind, including BBQs, are not allowed within the allotment gardens site.

The same tenant (with the support of one other) also claimed that most gardeners found fires essential for burning diseased crops, preventing blight and producing their own eco-friendly potash fertiliser. The tenants had suggested that the agreement should be amended to allow burning one day a week, eg on Sundays.

In considering this matter, members bore in mind that:

- a ban on allotment fires had also been adopted by other parishes. As an example, the allotment tenancy agreement for Waddington PC stated “Fires of any kind including BBQs are not allowed within the allotment area”; and
- internet research had confirmed that bonfires contributed to local air pollution and could cause a nuisance to neighbours.

Resolved

Clause 12d of the existing tenancy agreement would remain

iii) Lack of consultation prior to imposition of contract changes

The tenant of Plot 5 had specifically asked the Clerk to point out to members that the above changes were implemented “without discussion or consultation with the allotment tenants.”

In considering this matter, members noted that:

- the Clerk had been unable to find any legal requirement obliging the Parish Council to consult with tenants prior to changing the contract (although tenants would presumably be at liberty to seek legal redress if they considered that, as a public body, the Parish Council had acted inappropriately);
- the tenancy agreement for 2023/24 had stated that the agreement was a “yearly tenancy”, which would then expire at the end of the 12-month fixed term. It was therefore in the Parish Council’s gift to issue a new tenancy agreement – on different terms - at the end of a fixed term period;
- the Parish Council could indeed have carried out a consultation exercise, but – to be meaningful – any such exercise would surely have to seek the views of owners of neighbouring properties, who may themselves have had strong views on the issues of rodents and nuisance from fires. Such a

process would also have incurred additional expense, which would ultimately be passed onto the tenants under the principle of cost neutrality; and

- whilst technically it was true that the Parish Council could have sought tenants' views at the meeting held in September 2023, the Clerk had not then had the opportunity to give any thought to what terms may be included in a revised contract.

Members appreciated tenants' concerns, but considered that they had acted appropriately in amending the agreement (recognising that tenants had been given a longer lead-in time prior to the introduction of the revised agreement in 2024). In future, members undertook to advise tenants of any further changes to the tenancy agreement at the earliest opportunity (via the tenants' meetings where appropriate), and to listen to tenants' views put forward, but they ultimately reserved the right to implement changes as they saw fit.

Moving forward, members agreed that it would be helpful to adopt a similar term to that in the allotments contract issued by Waddington Parish Council:

"Please note, the Council reserves the right to change the rules from time to time, but will make such changes known to tenants in advance in an appropriate manner, eg via the Waddington PC website, on the parish council noticeboards, by email or letter. Tenants will be expected to comply with any rule changes following notification."

Resolved

The tenancy agreement would be revised in 2025/26 to incorporate the above term – Clerk to diary

Feedback on the above issues would be given to tenants collectively at the forthcoming tenants' meeting, rather than by writing individually to those tenants raising concerns

Clerk to draft the agenda for the tenants' meeting to reflect the above discussion

Clerk

- e) Lease agreement / possible areas of conflict with tenancy agreement to be explored

Clerk

In late February, the Clerk had been approached by a legal officer at RVBC who invited him to check on the location of the allotments when compared against a red edge on the Borough Council's plan for the site. This request had been carried out, and - whilst the Clerk remained unaware of the nature of the matter being investigated by RVBC - he had subsequently been provided with a copy of the original lease of the site from 1981.

On reading the lease, the Clerk had considered it prudent to draw certain aspects to members' attention, on the grounds that there may be possible areas of conflict between the terms of the lease and the recently revised tenancy agreement. For instance:

- Clause 2(8) of the lease confirmed that the rent charged by the Parish Council could not "exceed in total the rent reserved by this Deed". What

	<p>implications did this have for the level of rental fees now levied by the Parish Council on tenants, given that the rent paid by the Parish Council to RVBC was £10?; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clause 2(9) of the lease stipulated that, where the Parish Council sublet plots to allotment holders, the agreement "shall include" a condition that "one greenhouse and one implement shed only shall" be erected. <i>Does this entitle tenants to have a maximum of one greenhouse or shed on their plot?</i> In addition, Clause 2(9) stipulated that no shed or greenhouse shall exceed 100 sq feet, whereas the Parish Council had not opted to impose a size limit <i>per se</i>. <p>In contrast, clause 2(3) was considered to support the Parish Council in its intentions as it prohibited the use of the site as a "market garden", which - according to internet research – could be defined as a plot used for the "relatively small-scale production of fruits, vegetables and flowers as cash crops, frequently sold directly to consumers and restaurants". This would appear to reinforce the Parish Council's stance regarding Plot 1, as the tenant's crop would not be sold to a local business but merely used within it.</p> <p>The Clerk indicated that RVBC Legal Services had offered to advise the Parish Council on any perceived conflict between the lease and tenancy agreement, a proposal which members supported.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to seek legal advice on perceived discrepancies between the lease and tenancy agreement (Clauses 2(8) and 2(9)), with a view to ensuring that any further required revisions to the tenancy agreement are adopted for 2025/26</p> <p>f) <u>Rental income received / outstanding</u></p> <p>The Clerk reported that all outstanding rental income had now been received from tenants (although the tenants of plots 2 and 8 were yet to return their signed contracts).</p> <p>In addition, members noted that one of the tenants (Plot 3) had now returned her signed tenancy agreement, but had endorsed / amended it with question marks about specific clauses of the revised tenancy agreement on which she continued to have concern.</p>	Clerk
13.	<p>Rural Prosperity Fund (RPF)</p> <p>a) <u>Permissive Footpath</u></p> <p>CLr Atkinson had received confirmation from RVBC that the Parish Council had been invited to make a full application for funding for the permissive path project. However, it had been intimated that only one application per parish could be made, and GPC was currently intending to submit two applications (the permissive footpath and the Nature Recovery Network).</p> <p>(cont)</p>	

<p>b)</p>	<p>To compound this issue, the Chair had learned that GRGC had reached an advanced stage in its preparation for submission of its own bid relating to the installation of solar panels at the Pavilion.</p> <p>Members felt that they were now in an awkward position, being conscious that Cllr Atkinson had already invested time and effort into development of a bid. However, after lengthy discussion, it was reluctantly agreed that submission of the bid for funding for a permissive path should now be delayed. In reaching this conclusion, members noted that Grindleton was “one village”; it was important for the Parish Council not to be in competition with a sister organisation; and also felt that the current lack of agreement from landowners for the project was an impediment to the bid’s success.</p> <p>Resolved Members thanked Cllr Atkinson for his considerable efforts to date Submission of the permissive path bid would be deferred, pending conclusion of the solar panel bid made by GRGC Prior to final submission of a bid for funding for permissive path, it was important for the agreement / approval of relevant landowners to have been secured</p> <p><u>Nature Recovery Network</u></p> <p>Cllr Haslam confirmed that she was not yet in a position to submit her bid to RVBC; she still awaited (i) an indication of support for her project from the Lancashire Wildlife Trust, and (ii) a more detail on the costings for the work.</p> <p>It was agreed that an approach to a funding source other than the RPF would be a more appropriate way forward.</p> <p>Resolved Cllr Haslam to explore alternative funding sources (possibly of a smaller or charitable nature)</p>	<p>MH</p>
<p>14.</p>	<p>80th anniversary of D-Day – 6 June 2024</p> <p>At the October 2023 meeting, members had agreed to participate in this important national event. Since then, the Chair had agreed with GRGC that (i) the former village cricket field could be used to host the event and (ii) the Parish Council’s beacon (currently stored on the cricket field site) could remain there pending the event in summer 2024. Unfortunately the beacon had been moved since the last meeting, but GRGC had been advised that the Parish Council still intended to use it and would house it elsewhere if necessary.</p> <p>Members reaffirmed their commitment to holding a small-scale event which would require minimal organisation / management on the night. Refreshments would not be required, as residents could potentially meet in the Rum Fox prior to walking to the cricket field site where the beacon would be displayed.</p> <p>(cont)</p>	

	<p>Resolved Cllr Brennan agreed to ensure that the beacon (currently in two pieces) was fit for use Clerk to diary a further discussion on progress to date and other issues (such as promotion of the event, any further correspondence from Bruno Peeke) at the next meeting</p>	<p>CB Clerk</p>
15.	<p>Update from Ward Councillor</p> <p>Cllr Horkin confirmed that he had that night attended the Full Council meeting of RVBC, when its budget for 2024/25 had been set. There was some positive news, in that – due to a combination of use of reserves and a windfall received - £1.35m had been made available for capital projects. Villages would be able to bid for a share of £1m of this sum. In addition, Lancashire would receive up to £1.5bn over 5 years from the now abandoned HS2, although these monies would only be released with the agreement of local MPs.</p> <p>No progress had ben made on the Combined Authority for Lancashire, with a number of key issues stalled pending the general election.</p>	
16.	<p>Other meetings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>GRGC</u>– the Chair reported that work on refurbishment of the MUGA was due to commence in early April. A “galloping gourmet” event was to be held on 11 May. • <u>Parish Councils’ Liaison Committee</u> – the next meeting would take place on 11 April. The Chair had requested that a discussion be held on the recent Ribble Valley Leisure Service survey / consultation (Strategic Leisure), which was considered to have been poorly handled by the Borough Council. • <u>WASP</u> – no update. 	
17.	<p>Correspondence received</p> <p>a) <u>Free portrait of King Charles III</u></p> <p>The government had offered a free portrait of His Majesty The King to all town, parish and community councils in the United Kingdom. Members asked the Clerk to contact the company responsible for distribution of the portraits and request that a portrait be made available to the Parish Council.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to pursue</p> <p>b) <u>Resurgence of Measles</u></p> <p>LCC had requested that parish councillors be made aware of the resurgence of measles and the messages they could convey to assist in combatting this highly contagious disease.</p> <p>Members noted the information provided.</p>	<p>Clerk</p>

c)	<p><u>Local Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (LEVI) Pilot Funding</u></p> <p>The Parish Council had received an approach from RVBC giving details of the County Council’s Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Strategy. Over £10m had been made available to improve charging provision across the county. As part of its preparatory work, LCC was now engaging with key local stakeholders, including parish councils, to identify suitable locations for charge points. These could be on-street or in carparks that could serve local resident EV charging needs. A further £500,000 of LEVI Pilot funding could be accessed to trial lamppost charging and cross pavement solutions (such as a “cable-tray”) to assist residents who did not have off-street parking.</p> <p>Members again commented on the lack of a public parking area in the village where a charging facility could be installed. They were also reluctant to encourage the use of cross-pavement charging leads. However, it was noted that Bowland High School had installed a car park (close to their sports pitches) which may be suitable for grant funding. The offices owned by a businessman on East View were also a potential candidate.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to forward a copy of the email outlining LEVI funding to Bowland High School and the owner of offices on East View</p>	Clerk
18.	<p>AOB</p> <p>a) <u>B4RN</u></p> <p>The project was considered to be going well, with 167 residents now having shown an interest (thereby allowing the claiming of the maximum government grant available). Agreement for wayleaves continued to be sought from landowners, with most of those approached to date said to be supportive.</p> <p>b) <u>Re-siting of defibrillators</u></p> <p>Following the resuscitation training held on 17 February, the Chair had been approached by a local resident who proposed re-siting one of the 4 defibrillators currently maintained by the Parish Council to a different location. The defibrillators are presently sited at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Pavilion • bus shelter on Main St; • Bowland High School; and • the start of Lower Chapel Lane <p>As these 4 were in relatively close proximity, it had been suggested that one of them could be moved to the junction of Main St and Whitehall Lane (thereby better serving the properties nearer for the properties going out of the village to Broomhill and the fell road, as well as Whitehall Lane).</p> <p>Having taken initial guidance from NWAS, the Chair had sought to explore the implications (in terms of electrical supply etc) arising from such a potential move</p>	

	<p>by emailing Electricity North West. Whilst moving a defibrillator was indeed feasible, members considered that this expenditure would not be justified, especially as – in the interest of fairness - it would then become necessary to purchase yet another defibrillator for use in other outlying areas of the village (such as East View).</p> <p>Resolved On balance, the decision to move a defibrillator as requested could not be supported Clerk to advise the resident of the Parish Council’s decision</p>	Clerk
c)	<p><u>Request received – woodland burial site</u></p> <p>At the February meeting, the Chair had informed members of a request from a local resident, asking the Parish Council to consider the establishment of a woodland burial site. Due to time pressure, and the legal implications which underpinned the request, members had been obliged to defer this item to the March meeting. In the meantime, Cllr Walsh had carried out some initial research into the legal implications etc of this request; this had suggested that a number of administrative hurdles (in the form of licensing requirements) would need to be overcome. As a result, it was agreed that the request could not be supported.</p> <p>Resolved Clerk to advise the resident of the Parish Council’s decision</p>	Clerk
d)	<p><u>Wild flowers</u></p> <p>Members were reminded that Cllr Walsh had made contact with a company which was able to supply wild flower seed bombs. The company had now kindly agreed to supply the Parish Council with seed bombs in return for some publicity and the participation of local school children in any planting exercise.</p> <p>Whilst it had already been intended to include the school(s) in Cllr Haslam’s plan for a Nature Recovery Network, an approach to the primary school had not yet met with a response. Members discussed a number of possible sites for sowing the wildflowers, identifying:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • portions of the cricket field site, either running along the rear fencing or in the northwest corner. However, these areas would need to be fenced off / segregated so as to allow for ease of mowing; and • the bus turning circle on Main St. <p>Members were conscious that practical issues (such as access to water a rotavator) would need to be addressed, and that the time for planting was already upon them.</p> <p>Resolved Chair and Cllr Haslam to visit the cricket field site and assess possible areas for planting, as well as taking photographs for Cllr Walsh to use in her ongoing discussions with the supplier</p>	Chair MH SW

	<p>The next meeting of Grindleton Parish Council will take place at 7.30pm on Tuesday 2 April 2024 at Grindleton Pavilion</p>	
--	--	--

The meeting closed at 10.04pm.

Signed by:		
	Date: 2.4.24	Cllr L Halley (Chair)